# Virus Counterdefense: Diverse Strategies for Evading the RNA-Silencing Immunity

## Feng Li<sup>1</sup> and Shou-Wei Ding<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate Program for Microbiology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Pathology and Center for Plant Cell Biology, Institute for Integrative Genome Biology, University of California, Riverside, California 92521; email: shou-wei.ding@ucr.edu

Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2006. 60:503-31

First published online as a Review in Advance on June 12, 2006

The Annual Review of Microbiology is online at micro.annualreviews.org

This article's doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.60.080805.142205

Copyright © 2006 by Annual Reviews. All rights reserved

0066-4227/06/1013-0503\$20.00

#### Key Words

RNAi, antiviral silencing, viral suppressors, viral adaptation, virus-host interactions

#### Abstract

Viruses are obligate, intracellular pathogens that must manipulate and exploit host molecular mechanisms to prosper in the hostile cellular environment. Here we review the strategies used by viruses to evade the immunity controlled by 21- to 26-nt small RNAs. Viral suppressors of RNA silencing (VSRs) are encoded by genetically diverse viruses infecting plants, invertebrates, and vertebrates. VSRs target key steps in the small RNA pathways by inhibiting small RNA production, sequestering small RNAs, or preventing short- and long-distance spread of RNA silencing. However, although VSRs are required for infection, explicit data demonstrating a role of silencing suppression in virus infection are available only for a few VSRs. A subset of VSRs bind double-stranded RNA, but a distinct protein fold is revealed for each of the four VSRs examined. We propose that VSR families are evolved independently as a viral adaptation to immunity. Unresolved issues on the role of RNA silencing in virus-host interactions are highlighted.

#### Contents

INTRODUCTION	504
KEY STEPS IN RNA	
SILENCING	505
Production of Small RNAs	505
Assembly of Effector Complexes	505
Amplification and Transitive	
Silencing	506
Noncell Autonomous Silencing	507
VIRUS INFECTION INDUCES	
RNA SILENCING	507
RNA Silencing is an Antiviral	
Mechanism in Plants	507
RNA Silencing Controls Innate	
Immunity Against Viruses in	
Invertebrates	508
RNA Silencing Plays a Role in	
Mammalian Virus-Host	
Interactions	508
IDENTIFICATION OF VIRAL	
SUPPRESSORS OF RNA	
SILENCING	509
Coinfiltration	509
Grafting	509
Cell-Based Assays for Identifying	
Animal VSRs	510
DIVERSE VIRAL SUPPRESSORS	
OF RNA SILENCING	511
Multiple VSRs from Single Plant	
Viruses	511
Insect VSRs	511

Vertebrate VSRs	511
Structured Viral RNAs as VSRs	511
VSR Families	513
Cross-Kingdom Suppression of	
RNA Silencing	513
Possible Evolutionary Origin of	
VSR Genes	513
DIVERSE MECHANISMS	
INVOLVED IN VIRAL	
SUPPRESSION OF RNA	
SILENCING	515
Distinct Protein Folds Evolved	
Independently in Viruses to	
Bind dsRNA	515
Suppression of siRNA	
Production	516
Sequestration of siRNAs	518
Inhibition of Systemic Silencing	519
Silencing Suppression by DNA	
Viruses	520
Altering the Function of Host	
miRNAs: A Role in Viral	
Pathogenesis?	520
FUTURE DIRECTIONS	521
Genetic Control of the	
RNA-Silencing Immunity	521
Viral Evasion of the	
RNA-Silencing Immunity	522
Viral Exploitation of the	
RNA-Silencing Pathway	522

### **INTRODUCTION**

RNA silencing was first discovered in transgenic plants as cosuppression between an introduced transgene and its homologous endogenous gene (85, 92). Similar homologydependent gene-silencing phenomena have been reported in a wide range of eukaryotic organisms, including fungi, worms, insects, and vertebrates. Among the terms used in various organisms such as posttranscriptional gene silencing (PTGS), quelling, and RNA interference (RNAi), RNA silencing has emerged as the generic term referring to all gene-silencing mechanisms regulated by small RNAs 21 to 30 nucleotides (nt) in length. These small RNAs include short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and microRNAs (miRNAs) and provide specificity to guide various activities of RNA silencing, including RNA cleavage, translational repression, and methylation of DNA or chromatin.

The first biological function established for RNA silencing was as an antiviral mechanism in plants (3, 13, 46, 56, 73, 104).

#### PTGS:

posttranscriptional gene silencing

siRNA: short interfering RNA

Diverse roles have since been demonstrated for RNA silencing in many organisms. These include genome defense against mobile DNA elements, establishing heterochromatin, developmentally regulated DNA elimination in Tetrahymena, control of plant and animal development, and downregulation of gene expression by specific cleavage and translational repression of target mRNAs that contain complementary sites to miRNAs or siRNAs. For example, hundreds of miRNAs have been identified in humans and each miRNA has the potential to target up to 200 genes. This has led to the suggestion that well over one third of human genes are under the control of miRNAs (9).

In 1998 researchers demonstrated that essential virulence factors of plant viruses are suppressors of RNA silencing, providing the strongest experimental support for a role of RNA silencing in host immunity against viruses (3, 13, 56, 67). Numerous viral suppressors of RNA silencing (VSRs) encoded by genetically diverse viruses infecting plants, insects, fish, and humans have been reported since the discovery of the first potyviral and cucumoviral VSRs in 1998. In this review, we summarize current knowledge about VSRs. We first briefly discuss the key steps and effector mechanisms of the small RNA pathways and the experimental evidence establishing induction of antiviral silencing in plants and animals. We focus on general properties, diverse mechanisms, and unresolved issues of VSRs, as well as assays used for VSR identification in plants and animals. For other aspects of antiviral silencing, readers are referred to several recent reviews (66, 132, 133).

#### **KEY STEPS IN RNA SILENCING**

#### Production of Small RNAs

RNA silencing begins with recognition of an RNA trigger by a type III endonuclease Dicer (10) (**Figure 1**), leading to the production of small duplex RNAs 21 to 30 nt in length with

the characteristic 2-nt overhang at the 3' ends. Subsequently, the small RNAs are loaded into an effector complex to guide specific RNA silencing (124).

Two types of RNA molecules have the potential to serve as a trigger of RNA silencing. Double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) are precursors of siRNAs, whereas single-stranded RNAs with step-loop structures serve as precursors of miRNAs (pre-miRNAs). Both siR-NAs and miRNAs are products of the single Dicer protein encoded in worms and humans. In Drosophila, however, pre-miRNAs and dsRNAs are processed by two distinct Dicers, Dicer-1 and Dicer-2, respectively. Arabidopsis encodes four Dicer proteins designated Dicer-like (DCL) 1-4, all of which recognize dsRNA triggers, although the primary role of DCL1 is to process pre-miRNAs. Two classes of siRNAs of sizes 21 to 22 and 24 nt, respectively, are produced in Arabidopsis plants, and it is likely that the 21-nt, 22-nt, and 24-nt siRNAs are produced by DCL4, DCL2, and DCL3, respectively (34, 43, 45, 100, 141). Tetrahymena produces the longest siRNAs, which are 27 to 30 nt in length. Arabidopsis miRNAs and siRNAs are methylated at the 3' end, which is essential for protection of the small RNAs from degradation mediated by 3'-uridylation activity (68, 150).

#### Assembly of Effector Complexes

Three types of effector complexes of RNA silencing have been described (**Figure 1**). These include RNA-induced transcriptional silencing complex (RITS), which directs methylation of chromatin, and siRNA- and miRNA-dependent RNA-induced-silencing complex (siRISC and miRISC), which guide cleavages and translational arrest, respectively, of target mRNAs. All of these complexes contain one (guide) strand of the duplex small RNAs as the specificity determinants and a member of the Argonaute protein (AGO) family. The PIWI domain of AGOs has structural similarities to RNaseH, and the ability to cleave the target RNA base-paired

#### Viral suppressor of RNA silencing (VSR): a

(VSK): a virus-encoded protein or RNA element that incompletely blocks silencing of viral nucleic acid sequences guided by viral siRNAs or miRNAs

DCL: Dicer-like protein

#### RITS:

RNA-induced transcriptional silencing complex



#### Figure 1

Key steps in RNA silencing. RNA silencing starts with dicing of dsRNA or pre-miRNAs. The resulting small RNAs are incorporated into distinct RISC complexes to direct chromatin modification, translational arrest, and mRNA cleavage. In organisms with RDR, secondary siRNA is synthesized from cleavage products. FX represents host factor implicated in stabilizing cleavage products similar to SGS3 (149). Dashed arrow represents cell-to-cell movement of 21 siRNAs and the potential long-distance movement of 24-nt siRNAs.

with the guide strand siRNA, called slicing, has been demonstrated for *Drosophila* AGO1 and AGO2, human AGO2, and *Arabidopsis* AGO1 (6, 76, 87, 115). It is likely that assembly of these effector complexes may follow a pathway similar to that described for siRISC in *Drosophila*. siRISC assembly begins with binding of siRNA duplexes by the heterodimer of Dicer-2 and R2D2, a dsRNA binding protein with tandem dsRNA binding motifs, in the RISC loading complex (RLC) (123). Thus, Dicer-2 is also required in RISC assembly downstream of siRNA production. Next, RLC delivers the siRNA duplex into AGO2, which subsequently cleaves the passenger strand siRNA, triggering its dissociation from the complex and activation of RISC that contains only the siRNA guide strand (84, 103).

## Amplification and Transitive Silencing

Fungi, plants, and worms encode eukaryotic RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RDR) that generate new sources of dsRNA for

#### RISC:

RNA-induced silencing complex

**RDR:** host RNA-dependent RNA polymerase dicing, leading to further silencing amplification (Figure 1). In both plants and Caenorhabditis elegans, RDR amplification results in the spread of silencing along the target gene beyond the region initially targeted for silencing, referred to as transitive RNAi (111, 126). RDR genes essential for RNA silencing in the germline (ego-1) and somatic tissues (rrf-1) in C. elegans have been identified (111, 113). Arabidopsis encodes six RDRs designated RDR1-6, which, together with individual DCLs, control specific small RNA biogenesis pathways. For example, RDR2 is required for the production of 24-nt siRNAs by DCL3, which are involved in guiding chromatin modification (18, 48, 55, 93, 143, 152). In contrast, a genetic requirement of RDR6 for the production of distinct classes of siRNAs by DCL1, DCL2, or DCL4 has been demonstrated (12, 34, 43, 149).

#### Noncell Autonomous Silencing

In both plants and worms, the effects of RNA silencing can spread beyond the sites of silencing initiation via a putative specific silencing signal (133) (Figure 1). Systemic silencing in worms requires SID-1, a transmembrane protein that efficiently transports dsRNA longer than 100 nt (40, 140). Two distinct steps have been observed in the spread of RNA silencing in plants. Current data suggest a role for 21-nt siRNAs in the short-distance spread and 24-nt siRNAs in the phloem-dependent long-distance transport (45, 49, 94). Although RDR amplification is not required for the cell-to-cell spread, extensive short-distance spread beyond 10 to 15 cells in plants requires the RDR6/DCL4 pathway and its product, the 21-nt siRNAs (34, 49, 110). In contrast, a predicted role for the 24-nt siRNAs (or their longer precursor dsRNA) produced by the RDR2/DCL3/AGO4 pathway in the longdistance silencing spread remains to be rigorously examined. However, both classes of siRNAs are found in the phloem, indicating their potential to mediate silencing spread in

plants (148). Similarly, it is also not clear if DNA methylation associated with the maintenance or persistent silencing of transgenes plays a specific role in noncell autonomous silencing.

## VIRUS INFECTION INDUCES RNA SILENCING

#### **RNA Silencing is an Antiviral** Mechanism in Plants

Early evidence that indicated an antiviral role for RNA silencing came from molecular analyses of transgenic plants following infection with a potyvirus from which the transgene was derived (73). The infected plants displayed symptoms initially but later recovered and became resistant to subsequent infection with the homologous virus. Recovery and establishment of the virus-resistant state were correlated with a posttranscriptional breakdown of the transgene mRNA. It was thus concluded that virus infection induces PTGS of the homologous transgene, which then targets the viral RNAs for silencing to confer virus resistance (73). A number of important studies subsequently published support this model. For example, plants carrying a silencing GUS transgene were resistant to infection of the GUS-expressing recombinant viruses but not to the wild-type (wt) viruses, indicating that viruses are targets of PTGS (39). That viruses are inducers of PTGS is not specific to transgenic plants, because virus-induced gene silencing occurs in wt plants when the infecting virus with either an RNA or DNA genome is engineered to express an endogenous gene (59, 62, 108). Furthermore, viral RNAs are targeted for silencing (26, 104), and virusspecific siRNAs of both positive and negative polarities accumulate in wt plants infected with wt viruses (46, 88, 148), demonstrating that viruses are both inducers and targets of RNA silencing in plants.

The idea that RNA silencing is an antiviral mechanism in plants is further supported **EDS:** enhanced disease susceptibility

FHV: Flock house virus

## **RNA-silencing**

immunity: an innate immunity mechanism against viruses that is mediated by the RNA-silencing pathway

#### Viral miRNAs:

virus-derived miRNAs of single polarity processed from stem-loop hairpin structures present in the single-stranded RNA transcripts of DNA viruses

by two additional lines of evidence. First, genetic studies indicate that the RNA-silencing mechanism protects host plants against virus infection. For example, Arabidopsis mutants carrying loss-of-function mutations in essential silencing pathway genes such as RDR6, AGO1, and DCL2 show enhanced disease susceptibility (EDS) to virus infection (28, 89, 90, 143). EDS was also observed in transgenic tobacco plants with reduced expression of either the RDR1 or RDR6 homolog (110, 142). Second, RNA silencing as an antiviral mechanism in plants is strongly supported by the demonstration that essential virulence factors of many plant RNA and DNA viruses are VSRs, which is discussed in detail below.

#### RNA Silencing Controls Innate Immunity Against Viruses in Invertebrates

It has not been clear what mechanism controls innate immunity against viruses in *Drosophila melanogaster* (51). Innate immunity against bacterial and fungal pathogens is mediated by the Toll and Imd (immunodeficiency) pathways (50). However, a global microarray analysis has revealed induction of a new set of genes by virus infection that does not include the well-characterized antimicrobial peptide genes (33).

The first indication for a role of RNA silencing in the response of *D. melanogaster* to virus infection came from the observation that the B2 protein of *Flock house virus* (FHV) exhibited activity to suppress RNA silencing in plants (65). FHV is a member of the family *Nodaviridae*, which contains a positive-strand RNA genome and includes pathogens of insects (*Alphanodavirus*) and fishes (*Betanodavirus*), although the type alphanodavirus, *Nodamura virus* (NoV), can lethally infect not only insects but also mammals.

Indeed, FHV infection of cultured *Drosophila* cells triggers production of FHV-specific siRNAs, and B2 expression is essential to establish infection. Accumulation of a FHV mutant not expressing B2

was detected in *Drosophila* cells only after depletion of AGO2 by RNAi, indicating that FHV infection triggers antiviral silencing in an AGO2-dependent manner (65). Induction and suppression of the AGO2-dependent antiviral silencing by NoV have also been demonstrated in cultured *D. melanogaster* and *Anopheles gambiae* cells (70). Using genetic loss-of-function mutants, we have recently shown that the RNA-silencing pathway controls innate immunity against two distinct viruses in adult *D. melanogaster* (138).

Two recent studies have shown that viral RNA replication also triggers the RNA-silencing immunity in *C. elegans* (80, 139), which encodes one Dicer as do fission yeasts and humans. Thus, although both plants and insects encode multiple Dicers, hosts that contain a single Dicer also have the potential to mount the RNAi-mediated antiviral response.

## RNA Silencing Plays a Role in Mammalian Virus-Host Interactions

Three lines of evidence indicate that mammalian viruses interact directly with the RNAsilencing pathway in their mammalian hosts (66). First, infection of several mammalian DNA viruses in cell culture induces miRNA silencing, which includes (a) recognition of virus transcripts by the RNAi machinery as precursors of miRNAs, (b) production of viral miRNAs, and (c) cleavages of viral mR-NAs as shown for the SV40 miRNAs (99, 117). However, the role of most of the approximately 40 viral miRNAs that have been cloned and/or characterized is currently not understood (66). Second, two cellular miR-NAs specifically interact with mammalian viruses, leading to either down- or upregulation of viral RNA replication (54, 64). Third, diverse mammalian viruses encode the activity to suppress RNA silencing (66), suggesting a role in virus infection of mammalian hosts for the suppression of RNA silencing, possibly mediated by small RNAs of either a host or virus origin.

## IDENTIFICATION OF VIRAL SUPPRESSORS OF RNA SILENCING

## Coinfiltration

A number of assays have been established to identify VSRs. Two assays have been widely used in plants. The first is based on the transient, mixed expression of two transgenes in leaves coinfiltrated with two Agrobacterium tumefaciens strains (44, 53, 134) (Figure 2). One strain induces RNA silencing of a reporter gene such as green fluorescent protein (GFP) in the infiltrated leaf (local silencing) (Figure 2, middle leaf) and subsequent spread of silencing into upper noninfiltrated tissues in transgenic plants that carry a homologous, integrated transgene (systemic silencing). The other strain directs high-level expression of the candidate viral protein in the coinfiltrated patches to test suppression of local silencing (Figure 2, left and right leaves) and/or systemic silencing. Coinfiltration is the most popular assay used in the identification of plant viral VSRs because it is simple and quick. However, this assay is not capable of identifying those VSRs, such as the coat protein of Citrus tristeza virus (CTV) (79), that suppress systemic silencing but not local silencing. This is because this type of VSR is expressed only at low levels in the infiltrated patches owing to local silencing against the viral suppressor transgene induced by Agroinfiltration.

#### Grafting

The use of grafting experiments makes it possible to identify VSRs that are active against systemic silencing but not local silencing (**Figure 3**). In this assay, selected transgenic plants stably expressing a candidate VSR are genetically crossed with a transgenic plant line that carries an autonomously silencing reporter transgene such as 35S-GUS in tobacco line 6b5. Whether or not expression of the viral protein suppresses spread of the reporter transgene silencing in the resulting



Coinfiltration assay. Two *A. tumefaciens* strains are mixed and infiltrated into the green fluorescent leaves of *Nicotiana benthamiana* 16c plants that stably express the 35S-GFP transgene. One strain carries 35S-GFP and directs a high level of GFP expression, which induces silencing of GFP, visualized as a bright red color zone surrounding the infiltrated patch caused by chlorophyll autofluorescence and loss of GFP expression (*middle leaf*). The second strain carries a binary plasmid that contains a VSR gene cloned under the control of 35S promoter. Coexpression of a VSR such as 2b of *Tomato aspermy virus* (*right leaf*) and B2 of *Flock bouse virus* (*left leaf*) suppressed the local GFP silencing, leading to high intensity of green fluorescence in the infiltrated patch and loss of the red zone. Silencing suppression is not visible after a mutation that abolishes the expression of B2 was introduced (*middle leaf*).



Grafting assay. Two transgenic tobacco lines carrying the 35S-GUS transgene were used: T19 plants are high expressors of the GUS transgene (*blue*), whereas the GUS transgene in line 6b5 is autonomously silenced (*white*). T19 plants are used as the reporter scions, and rootstocks are made from the F1 plants from crosses of 6b5 with either wt plants (6b5xWT) or plants preselected for high-level expression of a candidate VSR (6b5xVSR). Suppression of GUS silencing spread by a VSR will not reduce GUS expression in the new growth of the scions 4 to 6 weeks after grafting (*a*), in contrast to what occurs in the control grafts (*b*).

**RdRP:** viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase F1 progeny (6b5xVSR) can be determined by grafting reporter scions onto rootstocks made from the F1 plants (82, 94). The reporter scions are from another transgenic plant line such as T19 that expresses the reporter GUS transgene at high levels, which becomes silenced a few weeks after grafting onto 6b5 rootstocks owing to the import of a sequencespecific silencing signal from the silencing rootstock. Silencing does not occur in the scions if the VSR can inhibit either the synthesis of the mobile silencing signal in the F1 rootstocks or its export from rootstock to scion (44, 79). Moreover, analysis of expression of the reporter transgene in the F1 progeny can also reveal if the VSR suppresses local silencing, DNA methylation of the reporter transgene, or both.

Distinct suppressor activities have been revealed in this experimental system (44, 79,



#### Figure 4

Cell-based assay for identifying animal VSRs. (*a*) Structures of the viral cDNA in plasmids pFR1 and pFR1gfp. (*b*) Expression of GFP from pFR1gfp is detected in pFR1gfp-transfected *Drosophila* cells together with either dsRNA to *Drosophila* AGO2 (*middle panel*) or a plasmid expressing B2 of NoV (*right panel*), but not dsRNA of LacZ (*left panel*).

82). A VSR may suppress (*a*) DNA methylation and local and systemic silencing of the reporter transgene (such as cucumoviral 2b), (*b*) local silencing but not DNA methylation and systemic silencing (HC-Pro and p23 of CTV), (*c*) systemic silencing but not local silencing and DNA methylation (CP of CTV), or (*d*) local and systemic silencing but not DNA methylation (p20 of CTV). However, this approach is time-consuming and does not work if stable transgenic plants cannot be obtained owing to toxicity associated with constitutive expression of some VSRs such as 2b of *Tomato aspermy virus* (S.-W. Ding, unpublished data).

#### Cell-Based Assays for Identifying Animal VSRs

Several animal VSRs have been identified by assaying for suppression of RNA silencing induced by viral RNA replication in cultured Drosophila cells (70). The core component of this assay is pFR1gfp (Figure 4a), a derivative of pFR1 that contains the full-length cDNA to FHV RNA1. The viral cDNA is under the transcriptional control of a metal-inducible promoter, and a ribozyme located 3' end to the cDNA is designed to remove the nonviral sequence from the transcripts (65). Thus, after transfection into Drosophila S2 cells, transcriptional induction produces RNA transcripts identical to FHV RNA1. The viral RNAdependent RNA polymerase (RdRP), which shares no homology with RDRs, is translated directly from RNA1 to initiate replication of RNA1 and subsequent production of subgenomic RNA3, which serves as a mRNA to express B2.

In this system, B2 suppression of the RNAsilencing immunity triggered by viral RNA replication is essential for detectable accumulation of FHV RNA1 and RNA3 (65). In S2 cells transfected with pFR1gfp, B2 is not expressed owing to the insertion and translational fusion of the coding sequence for GFP after approximately the first 20 codons of B2.

а

Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2006.60:503-531. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by University of Florida - Smathers Lib - Gainesville on 03/14/17. For personal use only.

Thus, expression of GFP from the recombinant RNA3 occurs only in S2 cells in which RNA silencing is suppressed by either depletion of AGO2 (**Figure 4b**, middle panel) or expression of a VSR from a superinfected virus or cotransfected plasmid (**Figure 4b**, right panel). This assay can be easily adapted to mosquito cell culture for determining if mammalian viruses transmitted by mosquitoes encode VSRs (70).

The most commonly used trigger of RNA silencing in mammalian cell culture is 21-nt siRNAs that are chemically synthesized by commercial companies (38). However, many mammalian VSRs have been identified using short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) (66, 116). shRNAs are analogous to pre-miRNAs, as they require de novo processing by Dicer to produce siRNAs. Thus, use of shRNAs as the inducer allows researchers to identify those VSRs that target early steps of the RNAsilencing pathway (see below).

#### DIVERSE VIRAL SUPPRESSORS OF RNA SILENCING

#### Multiple VSRs from Single Plant Viruses

Every plant virus that has been closely examined to date encodes a VSR, and these include viruses that contain positive-, negative-, or double-strand RNA genomes as well as geminiviruses with a single-stranded circular DNA genome (Table 1). However, suppression of RNA silencing by any of the known VSRs is partial and the VSR encoded by each virus often targets only one of the effector mechanisms of RNA silencing. Nevertheless, CTV and geminiviruses encode multiple VSRs, each of which appears to have a distinct mode of action (79, 129, 135). In contrast to the large RNA genome of CTV  $(\sim 20 \text{ kb})$ , geminiviruses have a small genome, suggesting that other small viruses may also have the potential to develop distinct strategies for evading the viral immunity.

#### Insect VSRs

We have recently shown that infection of *Drosophila* with *Cricket paralysis virus* (CrPV) induces antiviral silencing and that CrPV encodes a novel VSR (138). CrPV is a member of the picorna-like insect virus group that is only distantly related to the insect nodaviruses from which the first animal VSR was identified (65). Thus, active suppression of RNA silencing likely represents a conserved function of true insect viruses, which are pathogenic to insect hosts.

### Vertebrate VSRs

Nine mammalian VSRs have been identified (66). These include NS1 of influenza A, B, and C viruses (70), E3L of vaccinia virus (70), B2 of NoV (70, 116), NSs of La Crosse virus (114), VA1 of adenovirus (4, 81), Tas of PFV-1 (64), and Tat of human immunodeficiency virus (8). The reovirus  $\sigma$ 3 protein suppresses RNA silencing in plants (71), but its activity is yet to be verified in animal cells. In addition, B2 from two fish pathogenic nodaviruses were found to suppress RNA silencing in heterologous systems (Table 1). These vertebrate viruses are genetically diverse and the VSRs identified were previously known to play key roles in infection of their mammalian hosts. However, it should be pointed out that suppression of virus-induced RNA silencing has been demonstrated in cultured insect cells only for a few of the known VSRs. Thus, a role of silencing suppression in the infection of vertebrate hosts remains to be established.

#### Structured Viral RNAs as VSRs

In addition to viral proteins, the highly structured RNA of approximately 160 nt encoded by adenovirus, VA1, can inhibit RNA silencing induced by either shRNAs or human pre-miRNAs (4, 81). Takeda and colleagues (121) have recently demonstrated suppression of transgene RNA silencing by RNA

#### Table 1 Plant and animal VSRs

			Motif implicated in VSR	
Virus genus	Virus name	VSR	activity	Reference(s)
Positive-strand RNA	A viruses in plants		•	*
Aureusvirus	Pothos latent virus	P14	dsRNA binding	86
Carmovirus	Turnip crinkle virus	CP	5	101, 102
Closterovirus	Beet yellows virus	P21	dsRNA binding	20, 23, 79, 106
	Citrus tristeza virus	P20	0	
		P23		
		СР		
	Grapevine leafroll-associated virus-2	P24		
	Beet yellow stunt virus	P22		
Crinivirus	Sweet potato chlorotic stunt virus	P22		60
	£	RNase3	RNaseIII	
Comovirus	Cowpea mosaic virus	Small CP		78
Cucumovirus	Cucumber mosaic virus	2b	dsRNA binding	13, 67, 101
	Tomato aspermy virus		0	, ,
Furovirus	Soil-borne wheat mosaic virus	19K	Cysteine-rich protein	122
Hordeivirus	Barley stripe mosaic virus	γb	Cysteine-rich protein	31, 147
Pecluvirus	Peanut clump virus	P15	Cysteine-rich protein	35, 36
Polerovirus	Beet western yellows virus	P0	- J - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I	97
	Cucurbit aphid-born yellows virus			
Potexvirus	Potato virus X	P25		134
Potyvirus	Tobacco etch virus	Hc-Pro		3, 13, 56, 57
	Potato virus Y			-,,-,-,-,
	Turnip mosaic virus			
Sobemovirus	Rice yellow mottle virus	P1		135
Tobamovirus	Tobacco mosaic viruses	P130		61
1004110001143	Tomato mosaic viruses	1150		01
Tobravirus	Tobacco rattle virus	16K	Cysteine-rich protein	75
Tombusvirus	Tomato bushy stunt virus	P19	dsRNA binding <sup>a</sup>	101, 112, 135
101110113011113	Cymbidium ringspot virus	11/	dister of binding	101, 112, 155
Tymovirus	Turnip yellow mosaic virus	P69		22
Vitiviruses	Grapevine virus A	P10		23
Negative-strand RN	<u>,</u>	110	<u> </u>	2.5
		NTG2		1.7
Tenuivirus	Rice hoja blanca virus	NS3		15
Tospovirus	Tomato spotted wilt virus	NSs		15, 120
Double-stranded RI	NA viruses in plants			
Phytoreovirus	Rice dwarf virus	Pns10		17
DNA viruses in plan	nts			
Begomovirus	Tomato leaf curl virus	C2	DNA binding, NLS	21, 27, 125,
0			0.	127, 129, 135
				136
	TYLCCNV-Y10 Y10β	βC1	DNA binding, NLS	
	African cassava mosaic virus (KE)	AC2	DNA binding, NLS, AD	
	EACMCV, ICMV, TGMV		0, ,	
	Mungbean yellow mosaic virus			
	African cassava mosaic virus (CM)	AC4	miRNA binding <sup>b</sup>	
Curtovirus	Beet curly top virus	L2	Protein binding	136
	seer carry rep curus	1		1 100

(Continued)

			Motif implicated in VSR	
Virus genus	Virus name	VSR	activity	Reference(s)
Positive-strand RNA virus	ses in animals			
Nodavirus	Flock house virus, nodamura virus,	B2	dsRNA binding	41, 52, 65, 70
	Striped jack nervous necrosis virus,			
	Greasy grouper nervous necrosis virus			
Negative-strand RNA viru	ises in animals			
Orthomyxovirus	Influenza virus A	NS1	dsRNA binding	70
Orthobunyavirus	La Crosse virus	NSs		114
Double-stranded RNA vir	uses in animals			
Orthoreovrivus		σ3	dsRNA binding <sup>c</sup>	71, 151
Retroviruses in animals				
Lentivirus	HIV-1	Tat		8
Spumavirus	PFV-1	Tas		64
DNA viruses in animals				
Adenovirus	Adenovirus	VA1 RNA	Dicer binding	81
Poxvirus	Vaccinia virus	E3L	dsRNA binding	70

<sup>a</sup>Prefer 19-nt RNA duplex.

<sup>b</sup>Single-strand mature miRNA.

<sup>c</sup>Prefer dsRNA longer than 30 nt.

replication of *Red clover necrotic mosaic virus* (RCNMV) but not by any of the RCNMVencoded proteins, suggesting that either the viral replicative intermediate dsRNA or an amplified RNA structural element may be involved in silencing suppression.

#### **VSR** Families

VSRs encoded by viruses of different families often share no homology at the primary amino acid sequence level. However, homologs or counterparts (by location in the viral genome) of a known VSR from the same virus family always encode silencing suppression activity, even if they may share minimal sequence homology. These include 2b of the cucumoviruses (13, 67), NS1 from three of the four genera in the family *Orthomyxoviridae* (70), B2 from both genera of the family *Nodaviridae* (41, 52, 65, 70), P19/P14 from the genera of tombusvirus and aureusvirus in the *Tombusviridae* (86, 135), AC2 and its homologs from two of four genera in the family *Gemi-* *niviridae* (11), and the cysteine-rich proteins from furoviruses, hordeiviruses, pecluviruses, and tobraviruses (36, 105, 122).

## Cross-Kingdom Suppression of RNA Silencing

The first animal VSR, B2 of FHV, was identified in an RNA-silencing assay established in plants (65). These broad-spectrum activities of VSRs have been demonstrated for NS1 of influenza A virus (14, 29, 70) and p19 of tombusviruses (70, 135). That these VSRs are all dsRNA binding proteins may explain why they are active in both the animal and plant kingdoms (see below).

## Possible Evolutionary Origin of VSR Genes

Many known VSRs are encoded by out-offrame overlapping genes (69) (**Figure 5**). These include cucumoviral 2b, tymoviral P69, tombusviral P19 and P14, poleroviral P0,



Figure 5

VSRs are encoded by overlapping genes. Sequences of *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV) RNA2 (NC\_002035), *Beet western yellows virus* (BWYV) (NC\_004756), *Flock house virus* (FHV) RNA1 (NC\_004146), *Tomato busby stunt virus* (TBSV) (NC\_001554), and *Turnip yellow mosaic virus* (TYMV) (NC\_004063) were analyzed by the DNA Strider program using universal codes. The maps show the location of open reading frames (ORFs) as well as start (*short vertical lines*) and stop codons (*long vertical lines*) in each of the three reading frames. >> and \* refer to translational readthrough and -1 ribosomal frameshift, respectively.

geminiviral AC2 and AC4, nodaviral B2, retroviral Tas and Tat, and influenza NS1 (**Figure 5**). Overlapping genes are thought to be created by overprinting, in which an existing coding sequence is translated in a different reading frame (58). As a result, for each pair of overlapping genes, one is more ancient and widespread, whereas the other is novel and has a confined lineage in the phylogeny of viruses. Previous analyses indicated that 2b, B2, and P69 are all encoded by the novel overlapping gene (30, 58). Recent structural determination of P19 and B2 also has shown that neither shares structural similarities with NS1, although all are dsRNA binding proteins (see below). Thus, these VSR genes are each evolutionarily novel and may represent a recent viral adaptation to the RNA-silencing immunity of the hosts. That VSR genes in each virus family may arise independently explains the structural and functional diversity of VSRs identified so far.

Most of these VSR genes are overprinted on either the N-terminal (such as p69 and P0) or C-terminal (such as 2b and B2) region of the viral *RdRP* gene (**Figure 5**), enabling their translation from either the genomic RNA or a 3'-coterminal subgenomic RNA (30, 97). This unique coupling of the RNA replication and silencing suppression functions creates a viral genome block that is perhaps most effective as a gene module for viral evolution and adaptation, since productive viral RNA replication requires suppression of the RNA-silencing immunity as has been shown in plants and invertebrate animals. This strategy is similar to that of picorna-like plant and insect viruses in which the VSR and viral RdRP are translated as part of the long polyprotein.

## DIVERSE MECHANISMS INVOLVED IN VIRAL SUPPRESSION OF RNA SILENCING

## Distinct Protein Folds Evolved Independently in Viruses to Bind dsRNA

A role for RNA binding in the suppression of RNA silencing by the cucumoviral 2b protein had been proposed previously (44). It is now clear that a major class of VSRs are dsRNA-binding proteins, as revealed first for the tombusviral P19 (112). However, dsRNA binding is unusual for P19 among the dsRNA binding proteins known so far because it specifically selects its substrates on the basis of the length of the duplex region of the RNA. P19 binds 21-nt duplex siRNAs with high affinity and independent of the 2-nt overhang at the 3' end of siRNAs, but its affinity is much weaker for dsRNAs 22 nt or longer (130, 145). Such a size selection in dsRNA binding has not been observed for influenza NS1 (14, 70), nodaviral B2 (80), closteroviral P21 (20, 146), cucumoviral 2b (F. Li & S.-W. Ding, unpublished data), or aureusviral P14 (86), which is a P19 homolog of a different genus from the same family Tombusviridae. All these VSRs bind duplex siRNAs and long dsRNA, and B2 in fact exhibits higher affinity to long dsRNA than to siRNAs (80).



Structures of dsRNA-binding motifs. (*a*) Canonical DSRM [reprinted, with permission, from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: *EMBO J*. (**109**), copyright 1998]. (*b*) NS1 of influenza A virus in complex with dsRNA [reprinted, with permission, from The American Chemical Society: *Biochemistry* (**25**), copyright 2004]. (*c*) P19 in complex with siRNA [reprinted, with permission, from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: *Nature* (**145**), copyright 2003]. (*d*) B2 in complex with dsRNA [reprinted, with permission, from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: *Nature* (**19**), copyright 2003].

Strikingly, vaccinia E3L (70) is the only example among the known dsRNA binding VSRs that has sequence similarity to the canonical dsRNA binding motif (DSRM) found in many cellular proteins, such as Drosophila Staufen protein, PKR, Dicer, and R2D2 (16, 91). DSRM adopts a  $\alpha_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\alpha_2$ fold, in which the two helices lie on one side and pack against a three-stranded antiparallel  $\beta$  sheet (**Figure 6***a*). Three protein-RNA interaction regions include  $\alpha_2$  across the RNA major groove, and  $\alpha_1$  and the loop between  $\beta_1$ and  $\beta_2$  to contact the minor groove at either side (91, 109). By contrast, NS1, P19, B2, and P21 share no structural similarities with the canonical DSRM and each adopts a novel protein fold, which are discussed briefly below.

**DSRM:** dsRNA binding motif

This provides further support at the structural level for independent origins of VSRs encoded by the novel overlapping gene as indicated by our evolutionary analyses.

**NS1.** NS1 is approximately 230 amino acids (aa) in length (137). The N-terminal region of 73 aa contains complete dsRNA binding activity of the full-length protein and retains most of the VSR activity (24, 25, 77). Both the NMR and crystal structural analyses, reported in 1997, have revealed a novel, six-helical fold in a homodimer for the NS1 dsRNA binding domain (24, 25, 77) (Figure 6b). The RNA binding surface is constituted by the antiparallel  $\alpha_2 \alpha_{2'}$ , in which several basic residues form electrostatic interaction with the phosphor group of the RNA backbone (25). The protein sits over the minor groove of the A form duplex and there is no significant conformation change during the RNA-protein complex formation (25, 137). Possible protein-protein interaction between multiple NS1 dimers may account for higher affinity for longer dsRNA substrates (25, 137).

P19. P19 of two tombusviruses in complex with siRNA has been solved and both display a common protein fold,  $\alpha_1\beta_1\beta_2\alpha_2\alpha_3\beta_3\beta_4\alpha_4$ . P19 also binds dsRNA in a homodimer (130, 145), as has been found for NS1, and the protein-protein interaction is mediated by the antiparallel  $\beta_4\beta_4$  strands and  $\alpha_4\alpha_4$  helices (Figure 6c). The eight  $\beta$  strands (four from each monomer) form a saddle-like  $\beta$  sheet surface that covers the central minor groove and two adjacent partial major grooves of the siRNA duplex. The N-terminal  $\alpha$  helix brackets at the ends of the siRNA duplex and poses a size constraint for the substrate. The remaining  $\alpha$  helices are packed on the other side of the saddle.

**B2.** B2 of FHV is 106 aa long and also contains a dsRNA binding domain located at the N-terminal region. Both NMR and crystallization structural analyses have revealed an all-helix structure for the N-terminal 72 aa (19, 74) (**Figure 6***d*). The first two helices  $(\alpha_1 \text{ and } \alpha_2)$  fold into a helix-turn-helix hairpin structure, whereas the third, shorter  $\alpha$  helix  $(\alpha_3)$  packs perpendicular to  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ . B2 binds dsRNA as a homodimer, in which  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_{1'}$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_{2'}$  pack against each other and  $\alpha_3$ and  $\alpha_{3'}$  are located at the opposite ends. The antiparallel  $\alpha_2 \alpha_{2'}$  helices form an extended RNA binding surface that covers two minor grooves and the intervening major groove.

**P21.** P21 of the closterovirus *Beet western yellows virus* is folded into nine  $\alpha$  helices (146), which can be divided into a N-terminal domain (NTD) of 93 aa and a C-terminal domain (CTD) of 83 aa. NTD is mainly a three-helix bundle ( $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3$ ) arranged in an up-and-down fashion. CTD folds into a twolayer array: The first layer includes  $\alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_9$ and the second includes  $\alpha_6 \alpha_7 \alpha_8$ , producing an octamer-ring structure with two types of head-to-head and tail-to-tail arrangements.

The main secondary structures of NS1, B2, and P21 are all  $\alpha$  helices, and NS1, P19, and B2 bind dsRNA as a homodimer. The canonical DSRM, P19, and B2 interact with the 2' OH group of ribose on the backbone, which provides a structural basis for their substrate preference for RNA rather than DNA. The interaction between the phosphor group on the backbone and the side chains of amino acids in the dsRNA binding surface involves both electrostatic and hydrogenbond interactions. The ribose and phosphor group recognition confer dsRNA binding in a sequence-independent manner, which may also apply to other dsRNA binding viral suppressors.

#### Suppression of siRNA Production

A result of dsRNA binding by VSRs is inhibition of viral siRNA production in infected cells, possibly by preventing Dicer from access to the viral RNA trigger(s). Inhibition of the dicing of input long dsRNA by B2 of FHV was first demonstrated in vitro using the Dicer extracts from *Drosophila* cells (19, 80). Reduced accumulation of siRNAs processed from hpRNA was also observed in mammalian cells expressing B2 of NoV (116). Notably, both dsRNA binding and dicing inhibition in vitro were abolished by the replacement of Arg by Gln at position 54 (R54Q) of FHV B2 (80), which was later shown by structural analyses to be in the center of the dsRNA binding surface (19, 74). An FHV mutant carrying the R54Q mutation in B2 was as defective as the FHV mutant not expressing B2 (FHV $\Delta$ B2) in the infection of *Drosophila* S2 cells, but was rescued by RNAi depletion of AGO2 (H. W. Li & S.-W. Ding, unpublished data). This indicates a role for dicing inhibition in B2 suppression of the RNA-silencing immunity. We have recently found that similar levels of FHV replication produced much higher levels of viral siRNAs in S2 cells cotransfected with FHV $\Delta$ B2 and AGO2 dsRNA than in cells transfected with wt FHV alone (H. W. Li & S.-W. Ding, unpublished data). These findings thus establish inhibition of siRNA production as a mechanism in B2 suppression of RNA silencing (**Figure 7**).

VA1 appears to inhibit the production of small RNAs by a mechanism distinct to B2 as it directly binds to Dicer and thus may act Viral siRNAs: virus-derived siRNAs of two polarities processed from viral dsRNA produced during viral RNA replication or through convergent transcription from opposing promoters in DNA viruses



#### Figure 7

VSRs block key steps in RNA silencing. The big pale green square represents an infected cell, with the white ellipse as the nucleus. The filled green rectangles represent the pathways for the short- and long-distance spread of RNA silencing. The amplification phase and possible nuclear processing of dsRNA (*dashed arrows*) are integrated with the initiation of antiviral silencing (*solid arrows*). For convenience we also use the initiation phase in this figure to describe antiviral silencing in animal cells where amplification may not occur. The possible steps targeted by different VSRs are red.

as a substrate to compete for Dicer binding (4, 81). A similar mechanism may be used by RCNMV (121). HC-Pro also inhibits Dicer processing (**Figure 7**), because HC-Pro expression in transgenic plants is associated with accumulation of unprocessed dsRNA (35, 83). Interestingly, HC-Pro mainly inhibits the accumulation of the 21-nt siRNAs but does not inhibit, or has a less pronounced effect on, the accumulation of the 24-nt siRNAs (35, 83). This is probably because the biogenesis of the 24-nt siRNAs by the *DCL3/RDR2/AGO4* pathway occurs in the nucleus that is insensitive to HC-Pro, a cytoplasmic protein.

Alternatively, HC-Pro expression may selectively increase the instability of the shorter class of siRNAs, since a recent study showed that HC-Pro expression caused a significantly more pronounced reduction in the 3'-terminal methylation of the 21- to 22-nt siRNA population than the 24-nt siRNA population (37). It may be informative therefore to determine if overexpression of rgsCaM, a cellular calmodulin-related protein that interacts with HC-Pro (2), suppresses either the 3'-terminal methylation or the processing of the 21- to 22-nt siRNAs by DCL2 and DCL4. In this regard, it may not be coincidental that expression of HC-Pro does not interfere with transgene DNA methylation and systemic silencing spread in the 6b5 tobacco plants (82) or silencing-mediated recovery of transgenic tobacco plants (73), as these processes are all possibly mediated by the 24nt class of siRNAs, which is not inhibited by HC-Pro. In contrast to HC-Pro, expression of both P25 of Potato virus X (PVX) and P1 of Rice yellow mottle virus specifically inhibits the accumulation of the 24-nt siRNA but has a less pronounced effect on the accumulation of the 21-nt siRNA (45). Interestingly, it appears that only the shorter class of viral siRNAs accumulated in wt plants infected with PVX (110), suggesting that P25 expression may also inhibit the production of the longer class of viral siRNAs in infected plants.

#### Sequestration of siRNAs

Selective binding of the 21- to 22-nt class but not the 24-nt class of siRNAs by P19 suggests a unique mechanism of RNA-silencing suppression by sequestering siRNAs (63, 112, 130, 145). The role of siRNA sequestering by P19 has been examined in both in vitro Drosophila embryo extracts (63) and infected plants (47, 118). P19 inhibited siRNAdirected slicing of the target mRNA only when P19 and the siRNA duplex were added to the embryo extracts at the same time. P19 was inactive when P19 was added 20 min after the addition of the siRNA duplex and P19 did not inhibit slicing initiated by singlestranded siRNA, indicating that P19 binds duplex siRNA to prevent siRNA from being incorporated into siRISC (63). Inhibition of siRISC assembly by siRNA sequestering may account for the observed P19 suppression of the RNA-silencing immunity induced by FHV infection of cultured Drosophila cells (70).

However, expression of the Cymbidium ringspot virus (CRSV) P19 from its own genome had no detectable effect either on the accumulation levels of CRSV and CRSVspecific siRNAs in infected protoplasts and inoculated leaves, or on the spread of virus through the vasculature to reach the first systemically infected leaves (47, 112, 118). Thus, it is unlikely that P19 inhibition of siRISC assembly, as observed in the heterologous system, plays a role in these initially infected cells and tissues. Elegant in situ hybridization experiments have revealed that expression of P19 allowed the virus to exit the vascular bundles and invade the surrounding tissues and beyond in the systemically infected leaves (47). As the 21-nt viral siRNAs are found in the phloem (148) and have the potential to mediate the cell-to-cell spread of RNA silencing (34), siRNA sequestering by P19 may prevent the viral 21-nt siRNAs from entering the vasculature in the inoculated leaves and/or exiting the vasculature in the first systemically infected leaves (Figure 7). In contrast, Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2006.60:503-531. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by University of Florida - Smathers Lib - Gainesville on 03/14/17. For personal use only.

abundant viral 21-nt siRNAs may enter and exit the vasculature to initiate antiviral silencing in the first leaves systemically infected with the CRSV mutant that does not express P19, leading to arrest of further virus spread and recovery from infection (118). An ultimate test of this model is to determine if P19 mutation in a tombusvirus can be rescued in a host mutant, such as *dcl4* or *dcl2/dcl4* double mutant, that is defective in the 21- and/or 22-nt siRNA biogenesis pathway (34, 43).

Several VSRs bind both siRNAs and longer dsRNA without a preference for the 21-nt siRNAs. These include NS1 (14, 70), B2 (19, 80), P21 (20, 146), 2b (F. Li & S.-W. Ding, unpublished data), and P14, the P19 homolog encoded by *Pothos latent virus* (86). In vivo binding of duplex siRNA and miRNA has been demonstrated in transgenic *Arabidopsis* expressing P21 (20). Unlike P19 and P14, however, P21 is required for efficient viral RNA amplification in single-cell infection (96). Thus, sequestering siRNAs by P21 may lead to inhibition of the assembly of siRISC in infected plants (**Figure 7**).

The geminiviral VSR AC4 does not bind duplex small RNAs, but it does bind the single-stranded siRNA or miRNA in vitro and possibly in vivo also because the AC4 protein–miRNA complex could be isolated from AC4-expressing cells by using a tethered 2'-O-methyloligonucleotide (21). Thus, AC4 may suppress RNA silencing by inhibiting the RISC activity after its maturation (**Figure 7**).

#### Inhibition of Systemic Silencing

Suppression of the phloem-dependent longdistance spread of RNA silencing represents another distinct viral strategy for evading the RNA-silencing immunity in plants. This was first demonstrated for P25, as systemic silencing of a transgene induced by a movementdefective PVX did not occur in *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants unless P25 was inactivated (134). The loss of meristem exclusion to virus invasion in transgenic plants expressing a P25 homolog may also be a result of suppression of systemic silencing (42). Interestingly, expression of either P25 or P1 was found to inhibit the accumulation of the longer class of siR-NAs in N. benthamiana (45), suggesting that these VSRs may act by either inhibiting the synthesis or promoting removal of the longer class of siRNAs. In PVX infection, deletion in the gene for P25 does not reduce PVX accumulation in inoculated protoplasts but abolishes spread of PVX out of the initially infected cells (5). A recent study has further shown that P25 suppression of RNA silencing is required for the cell-to-cell movement of PVX (7). Thus, the short-distance spread of antiviral silencing has the potential to inhibit virus cell-to-cell movement (7) and P25 may in fact block the function of the 21-nt siR-NAs in PVX-infected plants. However, P19 sequestering of 21-nt siRNAs is required only for CRSV unloading in the first systemically infected leaves (47), which argues against a role for the 21-nt siRNAs of CRSV in inhibiting virus cell-to-cell movement in the inoculated leaves.

Suppression of systemic silencing by the cucumoviral 2b may involve inactivation of the silencing signal (Figure 7), as indicated by a set of grafting experiments (44). Expression of 2b reduced transgene DNA methylation and prevented transgene silencing from spreading into reporter scions. Silencing spread into the scions also did not occur when 2b was expressed only in the intergraft between rootstock and scion, and the silencing signal imported into the 2bexpressing scion failed to initiate specific RNA silencing (44). Suppression of the phloemdependent long-distance spread of silencing by 2b is consistent with previous studies that have demonstrated a role for 2b in facilitating the long-distance spread of Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) (30). Our recent results further reveal a correlation between suppression of systemic silencing and 2b binding of dsRNA 25 nt or longer (F. Li & S.-W. Ding, unpublished data), suggesting that 2b may act by sequestering the longer class of siRNAs or their

**CMV:** Cucumber mosaic virus precursor dsRNA. It will be interesting to determine if 2b mutation can be rescued in a host mutant such as *dcl3* that is defective in the 24-nt siRNA biogenesis pathway.

#### Silencing Suppression by DNA Viruses

VSRs encoded by the family Geminiviridae include AC2 and AC4 as well as  $\beta$ C1, which is encoded by a satellite DNA (27, 129, 135). In contrast to AC4, AC2 may suppress silencing by interacting with DNA, cellular proteins, or both (11). The AC2 family of proteins typically acts as a transcription factor and contains a zinc-finger domain, a nuclear localization signal, and a C-terminal acidic type of activation domain. It is likely that AC2 suppression of RNA silencing is transcription dependent as mutations in any of the three domains abolish the VSR activity of AC2 (11, 32, 125, 127). This is further supported by the findings that AC2 expression in protoplasts induced the expression of approximately 30 host genes, one of which may encode a negative regulator of RNA silencing (125).

In contrast, silencing suppression by the AC2 homologs of Tomato golden mosaic virus and Beet curly top virus does not require the activation domain but depends on inactivation of adenosine kinase (ADK) by a direct proteinprotein interaction (136). ADK catalyzes the synthesis of 5'-AMP from adenosine and ATP and plays a key role in sustaining the methyl cycle as does S-adenosyl-homocysteine (SAH) hydrolase. Thus, inactivation of ADK by AC2 may interfere with a general methylation pathway in plants, as occurs in Arabidopsis hog1 mutants, which carry loss-offunction mutations in HOG1 coding for a SAH hydrolase and do not support DNA methylation-dependent gene silencing (107). This finding suggests that the viral genomic DNA may be targeted for silencing in a methylation-dependent manner, possibly triggered in the nucleus by the viral siRNAs (66).

## Altering the Function of Host miRNAs: A Role in Viral Pathogenesis?

Stable expression of VSRs in transgenic plants also interferes with the function of host miRNAs, which may explain why these plants often exhibit developmental abnormalities (20-22, 35, 57). Enhanced accumulation of host miRNAs has been observed in plants expressing HC-Pro, P19, P21, or P69 of Turnip yellow mosaic virus (20, 22, 35). Nevertheless, miRNA-directed cleavages of target mRNAs were inhibited by HC-Pro, P19, P21, and P15 of Peanut clump virus (20, 35). Because DCL1, which produces miRNAs, is downregulated by miR162 (144), enhanced levels of miRNAs may be a result of enhanced miRNA processing due to inhibition of miR162-directed cleavage of DCL1 mRNA. However, this model does not explain why P15 inhibition of miRNA silencing does not enhance miRNA accumulation or why P69 enhances the accumulation of miRNAs despite the fact it does not inhibit the target cleavages by miRNAs.

Transgenic expression of HC-Pro, P21, or P19 in Arabidopsis plants allowed Northern blot detection of the normally labile passenger strand of the miRNA duplex, referred to as miRNA\*. P19 and P21, but not HC-Pro, also interacted with the miRNA/miRNA\* duplexes in vivo. Thus, P19 and P21 may employ a similar mechanism to suppress miRNAand siRNA-directed silencing by sequestration of small RNA duplexes. In contrast, it appears that distinct mechanisms are involved in HC-Pro suppression of miRNA and siRNA silencing. Notably, these observations have provided an attractive model to explain viral pathogenesis as a result of viral suppression of shared steps in siRNA silencing as an antiviral defense and miRNA silencing required for development. However, note that all these studies were based on constitutive expression of VSRs in a much broader range of cell and tissue types than in natural plant infections.

#### **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

## Genetic Control of the RNA-Silencing Immunity

Although a role for RNA silencing in the viral immunity has been established in plants and invertebrates, many key questions on the genetic control of antiviral silencing remain to be addressed. For example, it is not clear how viruses are recognized by the small RNA pathway(s) in host cells. Plants and insects contain four and two Dicer proteins, respectively. Our observation that antiviral silencing against FHV is AGO2-dependent implies that Dicer-2 and the siRNA pathway play a role in the RNA-silencing immunity in Drosophila. However, it will be necessary to determine if the production of viral siRNAs requires Dicer-2 and if the siRNA pathway also mediates Drosophila immunity against viruses distinct to FHV.

The Arabidopsis genome encodes four DCLs that are involved in the production of distinct classes of small RNAs. In comparison to wt plants, reduced siRNA accumulation and EDS were observed in dcl2 plants, but only in the early stages of infection with Turnip crinkle virus, a carmovirus. However, this transient effect of DCL2 was not observed in Arabidopsis response to a cucumovirus and a potyvirus. This observation raises several critical questions regarding the genetic control of antiviral silencing in plants. For example, is there redundancy among DCLs in the initiation of antiviral silencing? Are viruses from distinct families recognized by different DCLs? Does cellular compartmentation of small RNA biogenesis and virus replication play a role? Thus, it will be important to determine if infection with viruses from different families produces distinct classes of viral siRNAs and if these siRNAs have the potential to activate and guide distinct effector mechanisms of RNA silencing against virus infection. For example, detection of a virus by DCL3 probably will produce the 24nt siRNA, which may potentially facilitate

the phloem-dependent long-distance spread of antiviral silencing and/or methylation of DNA/chromatin in the nucleus.

Two of the six plant RDRs have been implicated in defense against viruses and available data also suggest specific interactions between RDRs and different viruses. There are several interesting questions regarding the role of host RDR in the RNA-silencing immunity. Is host RDR involved in the production of viral siRNAs (referred to as secondary siRNAs)? Do viral secondary siRNAs have function(s) distinct from those of primary siRNAs? Is there a RISC-independent antiviral silencing that involves only synthesis and dicing by host RDRs and DCLs? Do RNA virus-encoded RdRPs amplify the endogenous RNA silencing in plant and animal hosts?

Another important question to be addressed is what serves as the pathogen trigger(s) of the RNA-silencing immunity in plants and animals. Detection and/or cloning of viral siRNAs in both positive and negative polarities in infected plants (88, 128, 148) implicate as the trigger dsRNA produced during replication of RNA viruses or through convergent transcription from opposing promoters in DNA viruses. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that antiviral silencing in Drosophila requires the dsRNA-siRNA pathway initiated by Dicer-2 (138), which does not process the intramolecularly base-paired stem-loop structures found in pre-miRNAs. In contrast, 80% of the viral siRNAs cloned from plants infected with a tombusvirus correspond to the viral positive-strand RNA and 85% are derived from several short regions in the viral genome (88), leading to the hypothesis that stem-loop hairpin structures present in the single-stranded virion RNAs may trigger antiviral silencing via the DCL1dependent miRNA pathway in plants. However, this hypothesis is not consistent with the observation that reduced processing of miRNAs in dcl1 mutant plants resulted in enhanced virus resistance rather than EDS (121).

## Viral Evasion of the RNA-Silencing Immunity

#### Coinfiltration

assay: identification of VSRs by mixed injection of two *Agrobacterium* strains into leaves where induction of transgene silencing occurs in the presence of expression of a candidate VSR Most of the reported assays in plants cannot reliably identify VSRs that interfere with the spread of RNA silencing, particularly those that are inactive against intracellular silencing (79). Inactivation of this antiviral effector mechanism may be more important in those hosts that encode the RDR system, which is known to amplify the silencing signal involved in both cell-to-cell and phloem-dependent silencing spread (34, 110). Thus, this type of VSR may be more widespread and more plant viruses may encode multiple VSRs than is currently thought. However, the grafting assay capable of identifying this type of VSR is timeconsuming, and thus it is necessary to develop an assay that is as simple and rapid as the coinfiltration assay.

Most of the known VSRs were not identified and characterized in assays in which RNA silencing is induced by virus replication to destroy virus and homologous RNAs, including mRNA of VSR. Although previously known to play key roles in virus infection and pathogenesis, a specific role for the suppression of RNA silencing in virus infection remains to be established for many of the known VSRs. Informative experimental evidence along this line includes rescue of defects in host infection by heterologous VSRs and correlation between the activities of a VSR in silencing suppression and host infection. However, an ultimate test is to demonstrate that expression of a VSR becomes redundant in virus infection of a host mutant that is defective in a small RNA pathway. This approach will also provide insight into the mechanism involved in viral suppression because it identifies the genetic pathway as the antiviral effector mechanism targeted by the VSR.

Many questions concerning the mechanisms of VSRs remain to be addressed. Because VSRs are known to up- or downregulate the accumulation of host miRNAs, it is expected that they also interfere with the accumulation and function of endogenous siRNAs of hosts such as the *trans*-acting siRNAs (1, 34, 43, 95, 131, 141, 149) and natural antisense siRNAs (12). Does viral interference of the endogenous siRNA pathways play a role in the development of disease symptoms? More importantly, does expression of VSRs differentially influence the accumulation and function of the different classes of viral siRNAs? For those VSRs such as P25 essential for virus cell-to-cell movement, this question may have to be addressed by comparing the profiles of viral siRNAs isolated from protoplasts infected with either wt or the VSR deletion mutant viruses.

Finally, what is the role of mammalian VSRs? Is their activity in silencing suppression required for virus infection? Do mammalian VSRs interfere with the biogenesis and function of miRNAs of either a host or virus origin? Influenza viruses, unlike many mammalian viruses, replicate in the nucleus. Are influenza viral RNAs targets of the host miRNA pathway, as found for mammalian DNA viruses that replicate in the nucleus? Suppression of RNA silencing by the nuclearlocalized cucumoviral 2b is associated with reduced DNA methylation of the target transgene in the nucleus. Do nuclear-localized mammalian VSRs such as NS1 interfere with nuclear silencing?

## Viral Exploitation of the RNA-Silencing Pathway

RNA silencing has almost always been portrayed in the literature as a host antiviral mechanism. However, several recent studies suggest that viruses also exploit this gene regulatory mechanism guided by small RNAs for their own benefit. For example, cleavages of the early SV40 mRNAs by its own miRNAs led to reduced expression of viral T antigens and reduced sensitivity to lysis by cytotoxic T cells without reducing the yield of infectious virus (117). Whether the herpesviral miRNAs play a similar role remains to be examined. Furthermore, a liver-specific miRNA was found to upregulate the expression of the *Hepatitis C virus* genome in cell culture by binding to the 5' end of the viral genome (54), and *Arabidopsis* mutant *dcl1* showed reduced susceptibility to RCNMV infection (121). These findings raise several important questions. Does virus infection require production and function of small RNAs of either a host or virus origin? Do plant and invertebrate viruses produce miRNAs? Do plantand insect-virus-derived small RNAs direct RNA-silencing processes against host mR-NAs, DNA or both? What is the role of host RNA silencing directed by viral small RNAs?

#### SUMMARY POINTS

- Standard assays for the identification of VSRs have been developed in both plant and animal systems.
- Numerous viruses encode VSRs. These include viruses that are pathogens of plants, insects, fish, and humans, and contain single- and double-stranded RNA or DNA genomes. Viruses may encode either single or multiple VSRs.
- Many VSRs are dsRNA binding proteins without a preference for siRNAs. Protein structure has been solved for four such VSRs, and notably all adopt a novel fold distinct from each other and from the canonical dsRNA binding motif.
- Several of the key steps in the RNA-silencing pathway are targeted by VSRs. Some VSRs sequester siRNAs or inhibit production of siRNAs, whereas others prevent short- and long-distance spread of RNA silencing.
- 5. All VSRs were previously known to play key roles in virus infection and pathogenesis. A specific requirement for silencing suppression in virus infection has been demonstrated by the genetic complementation of loss-of-function mutations in a VSR gene and a host gene essential for RNA silencing.
- 6. Several plant VSRs interfere with the function of host miRNAs and their stable expression in transgenic plants caused developmental abnormalities. Thus, viral pathogenesis may represent a consequence of viral suppression of shared steps in siRNA silencing as an antiviral defense and miRNA silencing required for development.
- Many VSRs are encoded by out-of-frame overlapping genes. Thus, independent creation of VSR genes by overprinting may represent an evolutionary adaptation of viruses to the RNA-silencing immunity of hosts and explain the structural and functional diversities of VSRs.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research projects on antiviral silencing in the authors' lab are supported by grants from NIH (R01 AI052447) and USDA National Research Initiative (2005-35319-15331 and 2005-34399-16077). The authors wish to thank members of the Ding laboratory and Olivier Voinnet for stimulating discussion.

## LITERATURE CITED

 Allen E, Xie Z, Gustafson AM, Carrington JC. 2005. microRNA-directed phasing during trans-acting siRNA biogenesis in plants. *Cell* 121:207–21

- Anandalakshmi R, Marathe R, Ge X, Herr JM Jr, Mau C, et al. 2000. A calmodulin-related protein that suppresses posttranscriptional gene silencing in plants. *Science* 290:142–44
- Anandalakshmi R, Pruss GJ, Ge X, Marathe R, Mallory AC, et al. 1998. A viral suppressor of gene silencing in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95:13079–84
- Andersson MG, Haasnoot PC, Xu N, Berenjian S, Berkhout B, Akusjarvi G. 2005. Suppression of RNA interference by adenovirus virus-associated RNA. *J. Virol.* 79:9556–65
- Angell SM, Davies C, Baulcombe DC. 1996. Cell-to-cell movement of potato virus X is associated with a change in the size-exclusion limit of plasmodesmata in trichome cells of Nicotiana clevelandii. *Virology* 216:197–201
- Baumberger N, Baulcombe DC. 2005. Arabidopsis ARGONAUTE1 is an RNA Slicer that selectively recruits microRNAs and short interfering RNAs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 102:11928–33
- Bayne EH, Rakitina DV, Morozov SY, Baulcombe DC. 2005. Cell-to-cell movement of Potato Potexvirus X is dependent on suppression of RNA silencing. *Plant 7.* 44:471–82
- Bennasser Y, Le SY, Benkirane M, Jeang KT. 2005. Evidence that HIV-1 encodes an siRNA and a suppressor of RNA silencing. *Immunity* 22:607–19
- Bentwich I. 2005. Prediction and validation of microRNAs and their targets. FEBS Lett. 579:5904–10
- Bernstein E, Caudy AA, Hammond SM, Hannon GJ. 2001. Role for a bidentate ribonuclease in the initiation step of RNA interference. *Nature* 409:363–66
- 11. Bisaro DM. 2006. Silencing suppression by geminivirus proteins. Virology 344:158-68
- Borsani O, Zhu J, Verslues PE, Sunkar R, Zhu JK. 2005. Endogenous siRNAs derived from a pair of natural cis-antisense transcripts regulate salt tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Cell* 123:1279–91
- Brigneti G, Voinnet O, Li WX, Ji LH, Ding SW, Baulcombe DC. 1998. Viral pathogenicity determinants are suppressors of transgene silencing in Nicotiana benthamiana. *EMBO J*. 17:6739–46
- Bucher E, Hemmes H, de Haan P, Goldbach R, Prins M. 2004. The influenza A virus NS1 protein binds small interfering RNAs and suppresses RNA silencing in plants. *J. Gen. Virol.* 85:983–91
- Bucher E, Sijen T, De Haan P, Goldbach R, Prins M. 2003. Negative-strand tospoviruses and tenuiviruses carry a gene for a suppressor of gene silencing at analogous genomic positions. *J. Virol.* 77:1329–36
- Bycroft M, Grunert S, Murzin AG, Proctor M, St Johnston D. 1995. NMR solution structure of a dsRNA binding domain from Drosophila staufen protein reveals homology to the N-terminal domain of ribosomal protein S5. *EMBO 7.* 14:3563–71
- Cao X, Zhou P, Zhang X, Zhu S, Zhong X, et al. 2005. Identification of an RNA silencing suppressor from a plant double-stranded RNA virus. *J. Virol.* 79:13018–27
- Chan SW, Zilberman D, Xie Z, Johansen LK, Carrington JC, Jacobsen SE. 2004. RNA silencing genes control de novo DNA methylation. *Science* 303:1336
- Chao JA, Lee JH, Chapados BR, Debler EW, Schneemann A, Williamson JR. 2005. Dual modes of RNA-silencing suppression by Flock House virus protein B2. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 12:952–57
- Chapman EJ, Prokhnevsky AI, Gopinath K, Dolja VV, Carrington JC. 2004. Viral RNA silencing suppressors inhibit the microRNA pathway at an intermediate step. *Genes Dev.* 18:1179–86
- 21. Chellappan P, Vanitharani R, Fauquet CM. 2005. MicroRNA-binding viral protein interferes with Arabidopsis development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102:10381–86

- 22. Chen J, Li WX, Xie D, Peng JR, Ding SW. 2004. Viral virulence protein suppresses RNA silencing-mediated defense but upregulates the role of microRNA in host gene expression. *Plant Cell* 16:1302–13
- Chiba M, Reed JC, Prokhnevsky AI, Chapman EJ, Mawassi M, et al. 2006. Diverse suppressors of RNA silencing enhance agroinfection by a viral replicon. *Virology* 346:7– 14
- 24. Chien CY, Tejero R, Huang Y, Zimmerman DE, Rios CB, et al. 1997. A novel RNAbinding motif in influenza A virus non-structural protein 1. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 4:891–95
- 25. Chien CY, Xu Y, Xiao R, Aramini JM, Sahasrabudhe PV, et al. 2004. Biophysical characterization of the complex between double-stranded RNA and the N-terminal domain of the NS1 protein from influenza A virus: evidence for a novel RNA-binding mode. *Biochemistry* 43:1950–62
- Covery S, Al-Kaff NS, Langara A, Turner DS. 1997. Plants combat infection by gene silencing. *Nature* 385:781–82
- Cui X, Li G, Wang D, Hu D, Zhou X. 2005. A Begomovirus DNAbeta-encoded protein binds DNA, functions as a suppressor of RNA silencing, and targets the cell nucleus. *J. Virol.* 79:10764–75
- Dalmay T, Horsefield R, Braunstein TH, Baulcombe DC. 2001. SDE3 encodes an RNA helicase required for post-transcriptional gene silencing in Arabidopsis. *EMBO J.* 20:2069–78
- Delgadillo MO, Saenz P, Salvador B, Garcia JA, Simon-Mateo C. 2004. Human influenza virus NS1 protein enhances viral pathogenicity and acts as an RNA silencing suppressor in plants. *J. Gen. Virol.* 85:993–99
- 30. Ding SW, Li WX, Symons RH. 1995. A novel naturally-occurring hybrid gene encoded by a plant RNA virus facilitates long-distance virus movement. *EMBO J*. 14:5762–72
- Donald RG, Jackson AO. 1996. RNA-binding activities of barley stripe mosaic virus gamma b fusion proteins. *J. Gen. Virol.* 77(Pt. 5):879–88
- Dong X, van Wezel R, Stanley J, Hong Y. 2003. Functional characterization of the nuclear localization signal for a suppressor of posttranscriptional gene silencing. *J. Virol.* 77:7026–33
- Dostert C, Jouanguy E, Irving P, Troxler L, Galiana-Arnoux D, et al. 2005. The Jak-STAT signaling pathway is required but not sufficient for the antiviral response of Drosophila. *Nat. Immunol.* 6:946–53
- Dunoyer P, Himber C, Voinnet O. 2005. DICER-LIKE 4 is required for RNA interference and produces the 21-nucleotide small interfering RNA component of the plant cell-to-cell silencing signal. *Nat. Genet.* 37:1356–60
- Dunoyer P, Lecellier CH, Parizotto EA, Himber C, Voinnet O. 2004. Probing the microRNA and small interfering RNA pathways with virus-encoded suppressors of RNA silencing. *Plant Cell* 16:1235–50
- Dunoyer P, Pfeffer S, Fritsch C, Hemmer O, Voinnet O, Richards KE. 2002. Identification, subcellular localization and some properties of a cysteine-rich suppressor of gene silencing encoded by peanut clump virus. *Plant J.* 29:555–67
- Ebhardt HA, Thi EP, Wang MB, Unrau PJ. 2005. Extensive 3' modification of plant small RNAs is modulated by helper component-proteinase expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102:13398–403
- Elbashir SM, Harborth J, Lendeckel W, Yalcin A, Weber K, Tuschl T. 2001. Duplexes of 21-nucleotide RNAs mediate RNA interference in cultured mammalian cells. *Nature* 411:494–98

- English JJ, Mueller E, Baulcombe DC. 1996. Suppression of virus accumulation in transgenic plants exhibiting silencing of nuclear genes. *Plant Cell* 8:179–88
- Feinberg EH, Hunter CP. 2003. Transport of dsRNA into cells by the transmembrane protein SID-1. Science 301:1545–47
- Fenner BJ, Thiagarajan R, Chua HK, Kwang J. 2006. Betanodavirus b2 is an RNA interference antagonist that facilitates intracellular viral RNA accumulation. *J. Virol.* 80:85–94
- 42. Foster TM, Lough TJ, Emerson SJ, Lee RH, Bowman JL, et al. 2002. A surveillance system regulates selective entry of RNA into the shoot apex. *Plant Cell* 14:1497–508
- Gasciolli V, Mallory AC, Bartel DP, Vaucheret H. 2005. Partially redundant functions of Arabidopsis DICER-like enzymes and a role for DCL4 in producing trans-acting siRNAs. *Curr. Biol.* 15:1494–500
- Guo HS, Ding SW. 2002. A viral protein inhibits the long range signaling activity of the gene silencing signal. *EMBO J.* 21:398–407
- Hamilton A, Voinnet O, Chappell L, Baulcombe D. 2002. Two classes of short interfering RNA in RNA silencing. *EMBO* 7. 21:4671–79
- Hamilton AJ, Baulcombe DC. 1999. A species of small antisense RNA in posttranscriptional gene silencing in plants. *Science* 286:950–52
- Havelda Z, Hornyik C, Crescenzi A, Burgyan J. 2003. In situ characterization of Cymbidium Ringspot Tombusvirus infection-induced posttranscriptional gene silencing in Nicotiana benthamiana. *J. Virol.* 77:6082–86
- Herr AJ, Jensen MB, Dalmay T, Baulcombe DC. 2005. RNA polymerase IV directs silencing of endogenous DNA. *Science* 308:118–20
- Himber C, Dunoyer P, Moissiard G, Ritzenthaler C, Voinnet O. 2003. Transitivitydependent and -independent cell-to-cell movement of RNA silencing. *EMBO J*. 22:4523– 33
- 50. Hoffmann JA. 2003. The immune response of Drosophila. Nature 426:33-38
- 51. Ip YT. 2005. Drosophila innate immunity goes viral. Nat. Immunol. 6:863-64
- Iwamoto T, Mise K, Takeda A, Okinaka Y, Mori K, et al. 2005. Characterization of Striped jack nervous necrosis virus subgenomic RNA3 and biological activities of its encoded protein B2. *J. Gen. Virol.* 86:2807–16
- Johansen LK, Carrington JC. 2001. Silencing on the spot. Induction and suppression of RNA silencing in the Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression system. *Plant Physiol*. 126:930–38
- Jopling CL, Yi M, Lancaster AM, Lemon SM, Sarnow P. 2005. Modulation of hepatitis C virus RNA abundance by a liver-specific microRNA. *Science* 309:1577–81
- 55. Kanno T, Huettel B, Mette MF, Aufsatz W, Jaligot E, et al. 2005. Atypical RNA polymerase subunits required for RNA-directed DNA methylation. *Nat. Genet.* 37:761–65
- Kasschau KD, Carrington JC. 1998. A counterdefensive strategy of plant viruses: suppression of posttranscriptional gene silencing. *Cell* 95:461–70
- Kasschau KD, Xie Z, Allen E, Llave C, Chapman EJ, et al. 2003. P1/HC-Pro, a viral suppressor of RNA silencing, interferes with Arabidopsis development and miRNA function. *Dev. Cell* 4:205–17
- Keese PK, Gibbs A. 1992. Origins of genes: "big bang" or continuous creation? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:9489–93
- Kjemtrup S, Sampson KS, Peele CG, Nguyen LV, Conkling MA, et al. 1998. Gene silencing from plant DNA carried by a geminivirus. *Plant J*. 14:91–100
- Kreuze JF, Savenkov EI, Cuellar W, Li X, Valkonen JP. 2005. Viral class 1 RNase III involved in suppression of RNA silencing. *J. Virol.* 79:7227–38

- Kubota K, Tsuda S, Tamai A, Meshi T. 2003. Tomato mosaic virus replication protein suppresses virus-targeted posttranscriptional gene silencing. J. Virol. 77:11016–26
- Kumagai MH, Donson J, della-Cioppa G, Harvey D, Hanley K, Grill LK. 1995. Cytoplasmic inhibition of carotenoid biosynthesis with virus-derived RNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92:1679–83
- Lakatos L, Szittya G, Silhavy D, Burgyan J. 2004. Molecular mechanism of RNA silencing suppression mediated by p19 protein of tombusviruses. *EMBO* 7. 23:876–84
- 64. Lecellier CH, Dunoyer P, Arar K, Lehmann-Che J, Eyquem S, et al. 2005. A cellular microRNA mediates antiviral defense in human cells. *Science* 308:557–60
- Li H, Li WX, Ding SW. 2002. Induction and suppression of RNA silencing by an animal virus. *Science* 296:1319–21
- 66. Li HW, Ding SW. 2005. Antiviral silencing in animals. FEBS Lett. 579:5965-73
- Li HW, Lucy AP, Guo HS, Li WX, Ji LH, et al. 1999. Strong host resistance targeted against a viral suppressor of the plant gene silencing defence mechanism. *EMBO J*. 18:2683–91
- Li J, Yang Z, Yu B, Liu J, Chen X. 2005. Methylation protects miRNAs and siRNAs from a 3'-end uridylation activity in Arabidopsis. *Curr. Biol.* 15:1501–7
- 69. Li WX, Ding SW. 2001. Viral suppressors of RNA silencing. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 12:150–54
- Li WX, Li H, Lu R, Li F, Dus M, et al. 2004. Interferon antagonist proteins of influenza and vaccinia viruses are suppressors of RNA silencing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101:1350– 55
- Lichner Z, Silhavy D, Burgyan J. 2003. Double-stranded RNA-binding proteins could suppress RNA interference-mediated antiviral defences. *J. Gen. Virol.* 84:975–80
- 72. Deleted in proof
- Lindbo JA, Silva-Rosales L, Proebsting WM, Dougherty WG. 1993. Induction of a highly specific antiviral state in transgenic plants: implications for regulation of gene expression and virus resistance. *Plant Cell* 5:1749–59
- Lingel A, Simon B, Izaurralde E, Sattler M. 2005. The structure of the Flock house virus B2 protein, a viral suppressor of RNA interference, shows a novel mode of doublestranded RNA recognition. *EMBO Rep.* 6:1149–55
- Liu H, Reavy B, Swanson M, MacFarlane SA. 2002. Functional replacement of the tobacco rattle virus cysteine-rich protein by pathogenicity proteins from unrelated plant viruses. *Virology* 298:232–39
- 76. Liu J, Carmell MA, Rivas FV, Marsden CG, Thomson JM, et al. 2004. Argonaute2 is the catalytic engine of mammalian RNAi. *Science* 305:1437–41
- Liu J, Lynch PA, Chien CY, Montelione GT, Krug RM, Berman HM. 1997. Crystal structure of the unique RNA-binding domain of the influenza virus NS1 protein. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 4:896–99
- Liu L, Grainger J, Canizares MC, Angell SM, Lomonossoff GP. 2004. Cowpea mosaic virus RNA-1 acts as an amplicon whose effects can be counteracted by a RNA-2-encoded suppressor of silencing. *Virology* 323:37–48
- Lu R, Folimonov A, Shintaku M, Li WX, Falk BW, et al. 2004. Three distinct suppressors of RNA silencing encoded by a 20-kb viral RNA genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101:15742–47
- 80. Lu R, Maduro M, Li F, Li HW, Broitman-Maduro G, et al. 2005. Animal virus replication and RNAi-mediated antiviral silencing in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature* 436:1040–43
- 81. Lu S, Cullen BR. 2004. Adenovirus VA1 noncoding RNA can inhibit small interfering RNA and microRNA biogenesis. *J. Virol.* 78:12868–76

- Mallory AC, Ely L, Smith TH, Marathe R, Anandalakshmi R, et al. 2001. HC-Pro suppression of transgene silencing eliminates the small RNAs but not transgene methylation or the mobile signal. *Plant Cell* 13:571–83
- Mallory AC, Reinhart BJ, Bartel D, Vance VB, Bowman LH. 2002. A viral suppressor of RNA silencing differentially regulates the accumulation of short interfering RNAs and micro-RNAs in tobacco. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99:15228–33
- Matranga C, Tomari Y, Shin C, Bartel DP, Zamore PD. 2005. Passenger-strand cleavage facilitates assembly of siRNA into Ago2-containing RNAi enzyme complexes. *Cell* 123:607–20
- Matzke MA, Primig M, Trnovsky J, Matzke AJM. 1989. Reversible methylation and inactivation of marker genes in sequentially transformed tobacco plants. *EMBO J*. 8:643– 49
- Merai Z, Kerenyi Z, Molnar A, Barta E, Valoczi A, et al. 2005. Aureusvirus P14 is an efficient RNA silencing suppressor that binds double-stranded RNAs without size specificity. *J. Virol.* 79:7217–26
- Miyoshi K, Tsukumo H, Nagami T, Siomi H, Siomi MC. 2005. Slicer function of Drosophila Argonautes and its involvement in RISC formation. *Genes Dev.* 19:2837–48
- Molnar A, Csorba T, Lakatos L, Varallyay E, Lacomme C, Burgyan J. 2005. Plant virusderived small interfering RNAs originate predominantly from highly structured singlestranded viral RNAs. *J. Virol.* 79:7812–18
- Morel JB, Godon C, Mourrain P, Beclin C, Boutet S, et al. 2002. Fertile hypomorphic ARGONAUTE (ago1) mutants impaired in post-transcriptional gene silencing and virus resistance. *Plant Cell* 14:629–39
- Mourrain P, Beclin C, Elmayan T, Feuerbach F, Godon C, et al. 2000. Arabidopsis SGS2 and SGS3 genes are required for posttranscriptional gene silencing and natural virus resistance. *Cell* 101:533–42
- Nanduri S, Carpick BW, Yang Y, Williams BR, Qin J. 1998. Structure of the doublestranded RNA-binding domain of the protein kinase PKR reveals the molecular basis of its dsRNA-mediated activation. *EMBO J*. 17:5458–65
- Napoli C, Lemieux C, Jorgensen R. 1990. Introduction of a chimeric chalcone synthase gene into Petunia results in reversible co-suppression of homologous genes in trans. *Plant Cell* 2:279–89
- Onodera Y, Haag JR, Ream T, Nunes PC, Pontes O, Pikaard CS. 2005. Plant nuclear RNA polymerase IV mediates siRNA and DNA methylation-dependent heterochromatin formation. *Cell* 120:613–22
- Palauqui JC, Elmayan T, Pollien JM, Vaucheret H. 1997. Systemic acquired silencing: transgene-specific post-transcriptional silencing is transmitted by grafting from silenced stocks to non-silenced scions. *EMBO J*. 16:4738–45
- Peragine A, Yoshikawa M, Wu G, Albrecht HL, Poethig RS. 2004. SGS3 and SGS2/SDE1/RDR6 are required for juvenile development and the production of transacting siRNAs in Arabidopsis. *Genes Dev.* 18:2368–79
- Peremyslov VV, Hagiwara Y, Dolja VV. 1998. Genes required for replication of the 15.5-kilobase RNA genome of a plant closterovirus. J. Virol. 72:5870–76
- Pfeffer S, Dunoyer P, Heim F, Richards KE, Jonard G, Ziegler-Graff V. 2002. P0 of beet Western yellows virus is a suppressor of posttranscriptional gene silencing. *J. Virol.* 76:6815–24
- 98. Deleted in proof
- Pfeffer S, Zavolan M, Grasser FA, Chien M, Russo JJ, et al. 2004. Identification of virusencoded microRNAs. *Science* 304:734–36

- Qi Y, Denli AM, Hannon GJ. 2005. Biochemical specialization within Arabidopsis RNA silencing pathways. *Mol. Cell* 19:421–28
- 101. Qi Y, Zhong X, Itaya A, Ding B. 2004. Dissecting RNA silencing in protoplasts uncovers novel effects of viral suppressors on the silencing pathway at the cellular level. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32:e179
- Qu F, Ren T, Morris TJ. 2003. The coat protein of turnip crinkle virus suppresses posttranscriptional gene silencing at an early initiation step. *7. Virol.* 77:511–22
- Rand TA, Petersen S, Du F, Wang X. 2005. Argonaute2 cleaves the anti-guide strand of siRNA during RISC activation. *Cell* 123:621–29
- 104. Ratcliff F, Bryan D, Baulcombe D. 1997. A similarity between viral defense and gene silencing in plants. *Science* 276:1558–60
- 105. Reavy B, Dawson S, Canto T, MacFarlane SA. 2004. Heterologous expression of plant virus genes that suppress post-transcriptional gene silencing results in suppression of RNA interference in Drosophila cells. *BMC Biotechnol.* 4:18
- Reed JC, Kasschau KD, Prokhnevsky AI, Gopinath K, Pogue GP, et al. 2003. Suppressor of RNA silencing encoded by Beet yellows virus. *Virology* 306:203–9
- 107. Rocha PS, Sheikh M, Melchiorre R, Fagard M, Boutet S, et al. 2005. The Arabidopsis HOMOLOGY-DEPENDENT GENE SILENCING1 gene codes for an S-adenosyl-Lhomocysteine hydrolase required for DNA methylation-dependent gene silencing. *Plant Cell* 17:404–17
- Ruiz MT, Voinnet O, Baulcombe DC. 1998. Initiation and maintenance of virus-induced gene silencing. *Plant Cell* 10:937–46
- Ryter JM, Schultz SC. 1998. Molecular basis of double-stranded RNA-protein interactions: structure of a dsRNA-binding domain complexed with dsRNA. *EMBO J*. 17:7505– 13
- 110. Schwach F, Vaistij FE, Jones L, Baulcombe DC. 2005. An RNA-dependent RNA polymerase prevents meristem invasion by potato virus X and is required for the activity but not the production of a systemic silencing signal. *Plant Physiol.* 138:1842–52
- 111. Sijen T, Fleenor J, Simmer F, Thijssen KL, Parrish S, et al. 2001. On the role of RNA amplification in dsRNA-triggered gene silencing. *Cell* 107:465–76
- 112. Silhavy D, Molnar A, Lucioli A, Szittya G, Hornyik C, et al. 2002. A viral protein suppresses RNA silencing and binds silencing-generated, 21- to 25-nucleotide double-stranded RNAs. *EMBO J*. 21:3070–80
- 113. Smardon A, Spoerke JM, Stacey SC, Klein ME, Mackin N, Maine EM. 2000. EGO-1 is related to RNA-directed RNA polymerase and functions in germ-line development and RNA interference in C. elegans. *Curr. Biol.* 10:169–78
- 114. Soldan SS, Plassmeyer ML, Matukonis MK, Gonzalez-Scarano F. 2005. La Crosse virus nonstructural protein NSs counteracts the effects of short interfering RNA. *J. Virol.* 79:234–44
- 115. Song JJ, Smith SK, Hannon GJ, Joshua-Tor L. 2004. Crystal structure of Argonaute and its implications for RISC slicer activity. *Science* 305:1434–37
- Sullivan CS, Ganem D. 2005. A virus-encoded inhibitor that blocks RNA interference in mammalian cells. *J. Virol.* 79:7371–79
- 117. Sullivan CS, Grundhoff AT, Tevethia S, Pipas JM, Ganem D. 2005. SV40-encoded microRNAs regulate viral gene expression and reduce susceptibility to cytotoxic T cells. *Nature* 435:682–86
- 118. Szittya G, Molnar A, Silhavy D, Hornyik C, Burgyan J. 2002. Short defective interfering RNAs of tombusviruses are not targeted but trigger post-transcriptional gene silencing against their helper virus. *Plant Cell* 14:359–72

- 119. Deleted in proof
- Takeda A, Sugiyama K, Nagano H, Mori M, Kaido M, et al. 2002. Identification of a novel RNA silencing suppressor, NSs protein of Tomato spotted wilt virus. *FEBS Lett.* 532:75–79
- 121. Takeda A, Tsukuda M, Mizumoto H, Okamoto K, Kaido M, et al. 2005. A plant RNA virus suppresses RNA silencing through viral RNA replication. *EMBO* 7. 24:3147–57
- 122. Te J, Melcher U, Howard A, Verchot-Lubicz J. 2005. Soilborne wheat mosaic virus (SBWMV) 19K protein belongs to a class of cysteine rich proteins that suppress RNA silencing. *Virol J*. 2:18
- 123. Tomari Y, Du T, Haley B, Schwarz DS, Bennett R, et al. 2004. RISC assembly defects in the Drosophila RNAi mutant armitage. *Cell* 116:831–41
- 124. Tomari Y, Zamore PD. 2005. Perspective: machines for RNAi. Genes Dev. 19:517-29
- 125. Trinks D, Rajeswaran R, Shivaprasad PV, Akbergenov R, Oakeley EJ, et al. 2005. Suppression of RNA silencing by a geminivirus nuclear protein, AC2, correlates with transactivation of host genes. *J. Virol.* 79:2517–27
- 126. Vaistij FE, Jones L, Baulcombe DC. 2002. Spreading of RNA targeting and DNA methylation in RNA silencing requires transcription of the target gene and a putative RNAdependent RNA polymerase. *Plant Cell* 14:857–67
- 127. van WR, Dong X, Liu H, Tien P, Stanley J, Hong Y. 2002. Mutation of three cysteine residues in Tomato yellow leaf curl virus-China C2 protein causes dysfunction in pathogenesis and posttranscriptional gene-silencing suppression. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 15:203–8
- Vanitharani R, Chellappan P, Fauquet CM. 2003. Short interfering RNA-mediated interference of gene expression and viral DNA accumulation in cultured plant cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100:9632–36
- Vanitharani R, Chellappan P, Pita JS, Fauquet CM. 2004. Differential roles of AC2 and AC4 of cassava geminiviruses in mediating synergism and suppression of posttranscriptional gene silencing. *J. Virol.* 78:9487–98
- Vargason JM, Szittya G, Burgyan J, Tanaka Hall TM. 2003. Size selective recognition of siRNA by an RNA silencing suppressor. *Cell* 115:799–811
- Vazquez F, Vaucheret H, Rajagopalan R, Lepers C, Gasciolli V, et al. 2004. Endogenous trans-acting siRNAs regulate the accumulation of Arabidopsis mRNAs. *Mol. Cell* 16:69– 79
- Voinnet O. 2005. Induction and suppression of RNA silencing: insights from viral infections. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 6:206–20
- 133. Voinnet O. 2005. Non-cell autonomous RNA silencing. FEBS Lett. 579:5858-71
- 134. Voinnet O, Lederer C, Baulcombe DC. 2000. A viral movement protein prevents spread of the gene silencing signal in Nicotiana benthamiana. *Cell* 103:157–67
- 135. Voinnet O, Pinto YM, Baulcombe DC. 1999. Suppression of gene silencing: a general strategy used by diverse DNA and RNA viruses of plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96:14147–52
- Wang H, Buckley KJ, Yang X, Buchmann RC, Bisaro DM. 2005. Adenosine kinase inhibition and suppression of RNA silencing by geminivirus AL2 and L2 proteins. *J. Virol.* 79:7410–18
- 137. Wang W, Riedel K, Lynch P, Chien CY, Montelione GT, Krug RM. 1999. RNA binding by the novel helical domain of the influenza virus NS1 protein requires its dimer structure and a small number of specific basic amino acids. *RNA* 5:195–205
- 138. Wang XH, Aliyari R, Li WX, Li HW, Kim K, et al. 2006. RNA interference directs innate immunity against viruses in adult Drosophila. *Science* 312:452–54

- Wilkins C, Dishongh R, Moore SC, Whitt MA, Chow M, Machaca K. 2005. RNA interference is an antiviral defence mechanism in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature* 436:1044–47
- Winston WM, Molodowitch C, Hunter CP. 2002. Systemic RNAi in C. elegans requires the putative transmembrane protein SID-1. *Science* 295:2456–59
- 141. Xie Z, Allen E, Wilken A, Carrington JC. 2005. DICER-LIKE 4 functions in trans-acting small interfering RNA biogenesis and vegetative phase change in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102:12984–89
- 142. Xie Z, Fan B, Chen C, Chen Z. 2001. An important role of an inducible RNA-dependent RNA polymerase in plant antiviral defense. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98:6516–21
- 143. Xie Z, Johansen LK, Gustafson AM, Kasschau KD, Lellis AD, et al. 2004. Genetic and functional diversification of small RNA pathways in plants. *PLoS Biol.* 2:E104
- 144. Xie Z, Kasschau KD, Carrington JC. 2003. Negative feedback regulation of Dicer-Like1 in Arabidopsis by microRNA-guided mRNA degradation. *Curr. Biol.* 13:784–89
- 145. Ye K, Malinina L, Patel DJ. 2003. Recognition of small interfering RNA by a viral suppressor of RNA silencing. *Nature* 426:874–78
- 146. Ye K, Patel DJ. 2005. RNA silencing suppressor p21 of Beet yellows virus forms an RNA binding octameric ring structure. *Structure* 13:1375–84
- 147. Yelina NE, Savenkov EI, Solovyev AG, Morozov SY, Valkonen JP. 2002. Long-distance movement, virulence, and RNA silencing suppression controlled by a single protein in hordei- and potyviruses: complementary functions between virus families. *J. Virol.* 76:12981–91
- 148. Yoo BC, Kragler F, Varkonyi-Gasic E, Haywood V, Archer-Evans S, et al. 2004. A systemic small RNA signaling system in plants. *Plant Cell* 16:1979–2000
- 149. Yoshikawa M, Peragine A, Park MY, Poethig RS. 2005. A pathway for the biogenesis of trans-acting siRNAs in Arabidopsis. *Genes Dev.* 19:2164–75
- 150. Yu B, Yang Z, Li J, Minakhina S, Yang M, et al. 2005. Methylation as a crucial step in plant microRNA biogenesis. *Science* 307:932–35
- Yue Z, Shatkin AJ. 1997. Double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR) is regulated by reovirus structural proteins. *Virology* 234:364–71
- 152. Zilberman D, Cao X, Jacobsen SE. 2003. ARGONAUTE4 control of locus-specific siRNA accumulation and DNA and histone methylation. *Science* 299:716–19

## Annual Review of Microbiology

Volume 60, 2006

## Contents

Frontispiece Dale Kaiser
A Microbial Genetic Journey Dale Kaiser
Radical Enzymes in Anaerobes    Wolfgang Buckel and Bernard T. Golding
The Structural and Functional Role of RNA in Icosahedral Virus Assembly <i>Anette Schneemann</i>
The Biology of the Cryptococcus neoformans Species Complex    Xiaorong Lin and Joseph Heitman    69
Arsenic and Selenium in Microbial Metabolism John F. Stolz, Partha Basu, Joanne M. Santini, and Ronald S. Oremland
Curli Biogenesis and Function Michelle M. Barnhart and Matthew R. Chapman
Uranium Reduction Judy D. Wall and Lee R. Krumholz
<i>Francisella tularensis</i> : Taxonomy, Genetics, and Immunopathogenesis of a Potential Agent of Biowarfare <i>Molly K. McLendon, Michael A. Apicella, and Lee-Ann H. Allen</i>
Manganese Transport and the Role of Manganese in Virulence Krisztina M. Papp-Wallace and Michael E. Maguire
Biochemical Aspects of Coronavirus Replication and Virus-Host Interaction <i>Luis Enjuanes, Fernando Almazán, Isabel Sola, and Sonia Zuñiga</i>
Environmental Stress and Lesion-Bypass DNA Polymerases <i>Takehiko Nohmi</i>

Environmental Biology of the Marine Roseobacter Lineage Irene Wagner-Döbler and Hanno Biebl	5
Defining Virulence Genes in the Dimorphic Fungi Chad A. Rappleye and William E. Goldman	1
Structure and Function of RNA Replication       Juan Ortín and Francisco Parra       30	5
Streamlining and Simplification of Microbial Genome Architecture       Michael Lynch     32	7
DnaA: Controlling the Initiation of Bacterial DNA Replication    and More    Jon M. Kaguni    35	1
The Bacterial Twin-Arginine Translocation Pathway Philip A. Lee, Danielle Tullman-Ercek, and George Georgiou	3
Surface Proteins of Gram-Positive Bacteria and How They Get There       June R. Scott and Timothy C. Barnett       39	7
Subterfuge and Manipulation: Type III Effector Proteins of Phytopathogenic Bacteria Sarah R. Grant, Emily J. Fisher, Jeff H. Chang, Beth M. Mole, and Jeffery L. Dangl	5
Induction of Competence Regulons as a General Response to Stress in Gram-Positive Bacteria Jean-Pierre Claverys, Marc Prudhomme, and Bernard Martin	1
Origin of Mutations Under Selection: The Adaptive Mutation Controversy John R. Roth, Elisabeth Kugelberg, Andrew B. Reams, Eric Kofoid, and Dan I. Andersson	7
Virus Counterdefense: Diverse Strategies for Evading the RNA-Silencing Immunity <i>Feng Li and Shou-Wei Ding</i>	3
Understanding Microbial Metabolism Diana M. Downs	3
Multilocus Sequence Typing of Bacteria       Martin C.J. Maiden     56	1